

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

SUPREME COURT PRACTICE DIRECTIONS 2021

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OF 2024

It is hereby notified that amendments have been made to the Supreme Court Practice Directions 2021. The amendments are summarised below:

- (1) introduction of new paragraph 129A on Applications for PACC permission and PACC applications;
- (2) amendments to paragraph 166 on Affidavit in support of an application for permission under section 394H of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010;
- (3) introduction of new Part 24 on Express Track for Actions in the General Division;
- (4) amendments to Appendix B: Forms prescribed in the Supreme Court Practice Directions 2021:
 - (a) introduction of new Form B29A (Information Sheet to be exhibited in an Affidavit in support of an Application for Permission to make a Post-Appeal Application in a Capital Case under Section 60G of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969);
 - (b) amendments to Form B45 (Information Sheet to be exhibited in an Affidavit in support of an Application for Permission under Section 394H of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010);
 - (c) introduction of new Form B46 (Form for Election (Express Track)); and
 - (d) introduction of new Form B47 (Undertaking); and
- (5) amendments to Appendix C: Registrar, Deputy Registrar, Senior Assistant Registrars, Divisional Registrars and Deputy Divisional Registrars.

2 The amendments set out at sub-paragraphs (1), (2), (4)(a) and (4)(b) above will take effect on 28 June 2024 and will be reflected at <https://epd2021-supremecourt.judiciary.gov.sg> from 28 June 2024. The amendments set out at sub-paragraphs (3), (4)(c) and (4)(d) above will take effect on 1 July 2024 and will be reflected at <https://epd2021-supremecourt.judiciary.gov.sg> from 1 July 2024. The amendments set out at sub-paragraph (5) above relate to matters that took effect on 2 May 2024 and will be reflected at <https://epd2021-supremecourt.judiciary.gov.sg> from 1 July 2024.

3 Please find attached a document reflecting the marked-up amendments to the Supreme Court Practice Directions 2021.

Dated this 26th day of June 2024.



TAN BOON HENG
REGISTRAR
SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court Practice Directions 2021 (Amendment No. 2 of 2024)

Part 14: Proceedings before the Appellate Division, the Court of Appeal, the Court of 3 Supreme Court Judges under the Legal Profession Act 1966 and appeals to the General Division under the Medical Registration Act 1997

129A. Applications for PACC permission and PACC applications

(1) Under section 60G(4) of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969 read with Order 24A, Rule 2(2) and (4) of the Rules of Court 2021, the applicant in an application for PACC permission (as defined in section 60F of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969) (referred to in this paragraph as the “applicant”) must file an affidavit in support of the application at the same time as the filing of the application. This affidavit is to be made by the applicant’s counsel (if the applicant is represented by counsel when the affidavit is filed) or by the applicant (if the applicant is not represented by counsel when the affidavit is filed). Where the applicant is represented by one or more counsel, a separate affidavit may be made by each counsel as the deponent or a joint affidavit may be made by all of the counsel as deponents.

(2) Every affidavit mentioned in sub-paragraph (1) must attach as an exhibit an information sheet in Form B29A of Appendix B of these Practice Directions. The information sheet must be completed and signed by the person who makes the affidavit.

(3) Parties are to refer to the prevailing guidance note(s) found on the Singapore Courts website at <https://www.judiciary.gov.sg/news-and-resources/court-guides> for further information on how filings relating to applications for PACC permission and PACC applications are to be made on eLitigation.

Part 22: Other Matters Specific to Criminal Proceedings

166. Affidavit in support of an application for permission under section 394H of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010

(1) Under section 394H(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010 read with Rules 11(2) and (3) of the Criminal Procedure Rules 2018, the applicant in an application for permission (as defined in section 394F(1) of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010) must file an affidavit in support of the application at the same time as the filing of the application. This affidavit is to be made by the applicant's advocate (if the applicant is represented by an advocate when the affidavit is filed) or by the applicant (if the applicant is not represented by an advocate when the affidavit is filed). **Where the applicant is represented by one or more advocates, a separate affidavit may be made by each advocate as the deponent or a joint affidavit may be made by all of the advocates as deponents.**

Part 24: Express Track for Actions in the General Division

172. Application

This Part provides for the placing of an action in the General Division on the Express Track in accordance with Order 46A, Rule 1(2) of the Rules of Court 2021, and for matters related to the Express Track.

173. Request for an action to be placed on the Express Track

(1) A request mentioned in Order 46A, Rule 1(2) of the Rules of Court 2021 (the “Request”) must be made by all parties to the action by consent in Form B46 of Appendix B of these Practice Directions, but may be filed by any party to the action and served on all the other parties in the action.

(2) The Request may be filed at any time no later than 2 months after the filing of the last pleading. An application for an extension of time to make the Request may be made by a letter filed using the Electronic Filing Service as an “Other Hearing Related Request”, and must state:

- (a) the period of the delay in the making the Request;
- (b) the reasons for the delay in making the Request; and
- (c) why the action should be placed on the Express Track despite the delay in making the Request.

(3) The Request is to be filed using the Electronic Filing Service as a “Form for Election (Express Track)”. Only one Request is to be filed for an action, regardless of the number of parties to the action.

174. Court’s order or direction upon filing of the Request

(1) Upon the filing of the Request, a Judge sitting in the General Division may:

- (a) by order place the action on the Express Track, or decline to make an order placing the action on the Express Track, without hearing oral arguments; or
- (b) fix a case conference to discuss with the parties the suitability of the action to be

placed on the Express Track, despite the parties' consent, before deciding whether to place the action on the Express Track.

175. Production of documents relied on by a party in pleadings

(1) For the purposes of Order 46A, Rule 2 of the Rules of Court 2021, a party's list of documents must be set out in a tabular form in the following manner:

- (a) the first column should state the serial number of each document included in the list;
- (b) the second column should state the date of the document (if applicable);
- (c) the third column should set out a general description of the document; and
- (d) the fourth column should set out the relevant paragraph number(s) of the party's pleading containing the allegation that the document is relied on to prove.

(2) The documents in the list of documents should be arranged in chronological order.

176. Case management for actions placed on the Express Track

(1) At a case conference for an action placed on the Express Track, the Court will give directions on all matters that are necessary for the action to proceed expeditiously taking into consideration the Ideals in Order 3, Rule 1 of the Rules of Court 2021.

(2) The directions given by the Court at a case conference may include:

- (a) identifying and narrowing the main issues in dispute, whether factual, legal or concerning expert evidence (where applicable);
- (b) the number of affidavits and witnesses;
- (c) the timelines for filing and serving affidavits;
- (d) the timelines relating to the bundle of documents mentioned in Order 9, Rule 25(9)(b) of the Rules of Court 2021;
- (e) the date(s) and duration of the trial; and
- (f) the time allocated for the examination of each witness at trial.

(3) Where appropriate, the Court may direct parties to prepare a Scott Schedule setting out the list of issues in dispute, whether factual, legal or concerning expert evidence (where applicable).

177. Affidavits of evidence-in-chief

(1) At the time the affidavits of evidence-in-chief of a party's witnesses are filed, the party's solicitor or the party (if self-represented) must file an Undertaking, in Form B47 of Appendix B of these Practice Directions, by which the party's solicitor or party (as the case may be) provides such of the following undertakings as may be applicable:

- (a) an undertaking that the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of each witness (apart from any witness mentioned in subparagraph (b)) does not exceed the page limit of 30 pages (excluding exhibits) under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) of the Rules of Court 2021;
- (b) where the Court has ordered or allowed under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) or (4) of the Rules of Court 2021 a different page limit to apply to the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of any witness before the filing of that affidavit of evidence-in-chief, an undertaking that the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of that witness does not exceed the page limit (excluding exhibits) ordered or allowed by the Court under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) or (4) of the Rules of Court 2021.

(2) A request for the Court's permission under Order 46A, Rule 3(4) of the Rules of Court 2021 to exceed the page limit for an affidavit of evidence-in-chief must be made no later than within 3 working days before the date of filing of that affidavit of evidence-in-chief.

(3) Where the Court allows an affidavit of evidence-in-chief of a witness to exceed 30 pages (excluding exhibits), the filing party must pay the applicable fees for the additional pages under item 44A in Part 1 of the Fourth Schedule to the Rules of Court 2021, unless the Court waives, refunds or defers the payment of those fees under Order 46A, Rule 3(5) of the Rules of Court 2021.

(4) A request for permission under Order 46A, Rule 3(4) of the Rules of Court 2021 is to be filed using the Electronic Filing Service as an "Other Hearing Related Request".

(5) Unless the permission of the Court is obtained under Order 46A, Rule 3(4) of the Rules of Court 2021, and any fees payable under item 44A in Part 1 of the Fourth Schedule to the Rules of Court 2021 are paid or waived by the Court, the Court may reject the filing of, or expunge, an affidavit of evidence-in-chief that exceeds the page limit under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) of the Rules of Court 2021, and direct that party to re-file and re-serve each affidavit of evidence-in-

chief that does not comply with the page limit.

178. Restriction on right of appeal

(1) Under section 29(b) of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969 read with paragraph 4(1) of the Fourth Schedule to that Act, where an action is, with the consent of all the parties, ordered by the Court to be placed on the Express Track, an appeal cannot be brought against any decision of a Judge in the action except:

- (a) in a case specified in paragraph 4(1)(a) to (i) of the Fourth Schedule to that Act; and
- (b) in any such case where permission to appeal is required under section 29A of that Act read with the Fifth Schedule to that Act, subject to obtaining such permission.

(2) Under section 29(b) of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969 read with paragraph 4(2) of the Fourth Schedule to that Act, and under section 29(a) of that Act read with Order 46A, Rule 1(4) of the Rules of Court 2021, an appeal cannot be brought against any of the following decisions of a Judge:

- (a) a decision of a Judge to make an order under Order 46A, Rule 1(3) of the Rules of Court 2021 for the removal of an action from the Express Track;
- (b) a decision of a Judge to decline to make an order under Order 46A, Rule 1(2) of the Rules of Court 2021 for the placing of an action on the Express Track.

Appendix B

B29A.

Para. 129A(2)

Information Sheet to be exhibited in an Affidavit in support of an Application for Permission to make a Post-Appeal Application in a Capital Case under Section 60G of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969

Before a prisoner awaiting capital punishment (“PACP”) can make a post-appeal application in a capital case (“PACC application”), as defined in Section 60F of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969, the PACP must first apply for and obtain the permission of the Court of Appeal to make the PACC application.

To apply for permission to make a PACC application (“application for PACC permission”), the PACP must file written submissions together with a supporting affidavit, as required under Section 60G(4) of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969 read with Order 24A, Rule 2 of the Rules of Court 2021 (“ROC 2021”).

The PACP is to note the following:

- Where the PACP is represented by one or more counsel, the supporting affidavit should state all the matters listed in O 24A, Rule 2(4)(a) of the ROC 2021.
- Where the PACP is not represented by counsel, the supporting affidavit should state all the matters listed in O 24A, Rule 2(4)(b) of the ROC 2021.

Please attach this information sheet as an exhibit in the supporting affidavit.

A Please briefly explain the nature of the PACC application that permission is being sought for.

B Please identify the material that the PACP wishes to rely on in the PACC application that permission is being sought for.

1 Is the material new evidence? If so, set out the new evidence.

2 Is the material a new legal argument? If so, set out the new legal argument.

C If the material is new evidence:

1 When and from whom was the new evidence first obtained, whether by the PACP or the PACP's counsel? If it was first obtained by the PACP, when did the PACP first communicate the new evidence to the PACP's counsel?

2 Why could the new evidence not be adduced in Court earlier, even with reasonable diligence?

3 Please state the interval of time between the date when the material was first obtained and the date of filing of the present application for PACC permission. Please state the reasons why the application for PACC permission was not filed earlier.

D If the material is new legal argument:

1 When did the PACP or the PACP's counsel first become aware of the new legal argument?

2 Why could the new legal argument not be adduced in Court earlier, even with

reasonable diligence?

3 Please state the interval of time between the date when the material was first obtained and the date of filing of the present application for PACC permission. Please state the reasons why the application for PACC permission was not filed earlier.

4 If the new legal argument relates to or arises from a change in the law, please state the name(s) of any subsequent court decision(s) that the PACP says has/have changed the law. Please also explain what is the change in the law that the new legal argument is based on.

E Why does the PACP or the PACP’s counsel say that the PACC application to be made, if permission is granted, has a reasonable prospect of success?

F Please provide details of previous or pending applications made by the PACP.

1 Has the PACP (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any counsel, made any application for review permission, and/or any review application under Division 1B of Part 20 of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010?

2 If the answer to question F1 is “Yes”, please state the details of all such applications (including the date and case number of the application(s), the order sought in the application(s), the decision of the court in the application(s), and whether there was any finding of abuse of process in the application(s)).

3 Has the PACP (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any counsel, made any other application for PACC permission and/or PACC application?

4 If the answer to question F3 is “Yes”, please state the details of all such applications (including the date and case number of the application(s), the order sought in the application(s), the decision of the court in the application(s), and whether there was any finding of abuse of process in the application(s)).

5 Has the PACP (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any counsel, been involved in any other proceedings commenced on or after 28 June 2024 where the Court of Appeal has found that the PACP had abused the process of the court in order to delay or frustrate the carrying out of the sentence of death?

6 If the answer to question F5 is “Yes”, please state the details of the relevant proceedings (including the date and case number of the application(s) or action(s), the order sought in the application(s) or action(s), and the decision of the court in the application(s) or action(s)).

I declare that all the information contained in this information sheet is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

PACP or Counsel for the PACP

(Note: if there is more than one deponent to the affidavit, the name and signature of each deponent must be set out clearly)

B45.

Para. 166(2)

Information Sheet to be exhibited in an Affidavit in support of an Application for Permission under Section 394H of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010

Before an applicant can make an application to review an earlier decision of an appellate court (“review application”), the applicant must first apply for and obtain the permission of the appellate court to make the review application.

To apply for permission to make a review application, the applicant must file ~~a supporting affidavit together with the applicant’s~~ written submissions, ~~together with a supporting affidavit,~~ as required under Section 394H(3) of the Criminal Procedure Code 2010 read with Rules ~~11(2)(a) and (b)~~ of the Criminal Procedure Rules 2018 (“CPR 2018”).

The applicant is to note the following:

- Where the applicant is represented by one or more advocates, the supporting affidavit should state all the matters listed in Rule 11(2)(a) of the CPR 2018.
- Where the applicant is not represented by an advocate, the supporting affidavit should state all the matters listed in Rule 11(2)(b) of the CPR 2018.
- Where the applicant is a prisoner awaiting capital punishment, any supporting affidavit must also state the additional matters listed in Rule 11(2A) of the CPR 2018.

Please attach this information sheet as an exhibit in the supporting affidavit.

A Please set out the background relating to the earlier decision of the appellate court which the applicant is seeking permission to review.

1 Was the appellate court the Court of Appeal, or the General Division of the High Court?

2 When was the decision made?

3 For each charge, did the appellate court convict, or uphold the conviction of, the accused? If the appellate court convicted, or upheld the conviction of, the accused on a particular charge, what was the accused convicted of and finally sentenced to?

4 Is the applicant seeking permission to review the decision on conviction, the decision on sentence, or both?

B Please identify the material that the applicant wishes to rely on in the present application to show that there was a miscarriage of justice in the earlier court decision.

1 Is the material new evidence? If so, set out the new evidence.

2 Is the material a new legal argument? If so, set out the new legal argument.

C If the material is new evidence:

1 When and from whom was the new evidence first obtained, whether by the applicant or the applicant's advocate(s)? If it was first obtained by the applicant, when did the applicant first communicate the new evidence to the applicant's advocate(s)?

2 Has the new evidence been canvassed at any stage of the proceedings in the criminal matter in respect of which the earlier court decision was made?

3 If the answer to question 2 is "No", why was the new evidence not canvassed in the earlier court proceedings?

3 4 What efforts did the applicant make to try to obtain the new evidence for the earlier court proceedings?

5 Please state the interval of time between the date when the material was first obtained and the date of filing of the present application for permission. Please state the reasons why the application for permission was not filed earlier.

4 6 Why does the applicant say that the new evidence is compelling, meaning that it is reliable, substantial, powerfully probative and capable of showing almost conclusively that there has been a miscarriage of justice in the earlier court decision?

D If the material is new legal argument:

1 When did the applicant or the applicant's advocate(s) first become aware of the new legal argument?

1 2 Has the new legal argument been canvassed at any stage of the proceedings in the criminal matter in respect of which the earlier court decision was made?

2 3 If the answer to question ~~D1~~ D2 is "No", why was the new legal argument not made in the earlier court decision?

4 Please state the interval of time between the date when the material was first obtained and the date of filing of the present application for permission. Please state the reasons why the application for permission was not filed earlier.

3.5 Why does the applicant say that the **new** legal argument is compelling, meaning that it is reliable, substantial, powerfully probative and capable of showing almost conclusively that there has been a miscarriage of justice in the earlier court decision?

4.6 A change in the law must have arisen from any decision made by a court after the conclusion of all proceedings in respect of which the earlier court decision was made. Please state the name(s) of the subsequent court decision(s) that the applicant says has/have changed the law. Please also explain what is the change in the law that the new legal argument is based on.

E Why does the applicant say that the new evidence, the new legal argument or both show that there has been a miscarriage of justice?

1 Is it because the earlier court decision is demonstrably wrong? Or

2 Is it because the earlier court decision is tainted by fraud or a breach of the rules of natural justice such that the integrity of the judicial process is compromised?

F If the applicant says that the earlier court decision is demonstrably wrong:

1 For review of conviction - why does the applicant say that it is apparent, based only on the **new** evidence **tendered in support of the application** and without any further inquiry, that there is a powerful probability that the earlier court decision is wrong?

2 For review of sentence - why does the applicant say that the earlier court decision was based on a fundamental misapprehension of the law or the facts, thereby resulting in a decision that is blatantly wrong on the face of the record?

G If the applicant says that the earlier court decision is tainted by fraud or a breach of the rules of natural justice, such that the integrity of the judicial process is compromised:

1 Please elaborate why the earlier court decision is tainted by fraud or a breach of the rules of natural justice, such that the integrity of the judicial process is compromised.

H Please set out the details of any previous applications for permission and review applications the applicant has been involved in.

1 Has the applicant (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any advocate, filed any other application for permission and/or review application?

2 If the answer to question H1 is “Yes”, please state the details of all such applications (including the date and case number of the application(s), the order sought in the application(s), the decision of the court in the application(s), and whether there was any finding of abuse of process in the application(s)).

I If the applicant is a prisoner awaiting capital punishment, please set out the details of any relevant previous applications and/or actions filed by the applicant.

1 Has the applicant (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any advocate, filed any application for permission to make a post-appeal application in a capital case (“application for PACC permission”) and/or PACC application (as defined in Section 60F of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1969)?

2 If the answer to question I1 is “Yes”, please state the details of all such applications (including the date and case number of the application(s), the order sought in the application(s),

the decision of the court in the application(s), and whether there was any finding of abuse of process in the application(s).

3 Has the applicant (whether alone or together with any other person), with or without the involvement of any advocate, filed any application (other than the applications already stated) or action on or after 28 June 2024, in relation to which the appellate court has found that the applicant had abused the process of the court in order to delay or frustrate the carrying out of the sentence of death?

4 If the answer to question I3 is “Yes”, please state the details of all such applications/actions (including the date and case number of the application(s)/action(s), the order sought in the application(s)/action(s), and the decision of the court in the application(s)/action(s)).

I declare that all the information contained in this information sheet is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Applicant or ~~Solicitor~~ Advocate for the Applicant

(Note: if there is more than one deponent to the affidavit, the name and signature of each deponent must be set out clearly)

B46.

Para 173

Form for Election (Express Track)
(Title as in action)

Case No.:

Date:

To: The Registrar

Take Notice that all parties to the abovementioned action consent to request that the action be placed on the Express Track in accordance with Order 46A of the Rules of Court 2021.

Issued by: Solicitor for [the Claimant / the Defendant]* on behalf of all parties to the action
[Name, address, email address and telephone number of solicitor]

**Delete as appropriate*

B47.

Para 177

Undertaking
(Title as in action)

[Where the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of each witness does not exceed the page limit under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) of the Rules of Court 2021]

I, [state name], solicitor for the [state the party] / [state the party (if self-represented)]*, provide an undertaking to the Court that the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of each witness for the [state the party] does not exceed the page limit of 30 pages (excluding exhibits) under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) of the Rules of Court 2021.

[Where the Court has ordered or allowed under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) or (4) of the Rules of Court 2021 a different page limit to apply to the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of any witness]

I, [state name], solicitor for the [state the party] / [state the party (if self-represented)]*, provide an undertaking to the Court that:

- (a) the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of each witness for the [state the party] (apart from a witness specified in paragraph (b)) does not exceed the page limit 30 pages (excluding exhibits) under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) of the Rules of Court 2021; and/or
- (b) the affidavit of evidence-in-chief of the following witness / each of the following witnesses* does not exceed the page limit (excluding exhibits) ordered or allowed by the Court under Order 46A, Rule 3(3) or (4) of the Rules of Court 2021:
 - (i) [State name of witness]: [state total number of pages (excluding exhibits) in affidavit of evidence-in-chief] pages (excluding exhibits).
 - (ii) [State name of witness]: [state total number of pages (excluding exhibits) in affidavit of evidence-in-chief] pages (excluding exhibits).*

Signed: _____

Dated: _____

**Delete as appropriate*

Appendix C

Para. 10(4)

**Registrar, Deputy Registrar,
Senior Assistant Registrars,
Divisional Registrars and Deputy Divisional Registrars**

REGISTRAR AND DEPUTY REGISTRAR

	Name	Appointment date
Registrar	Mr Tan Boon Heng	1 August 2022
Deputy Registrar	Mr Phang Hsiao Chung	9 April 2019

SENIOR ASSISTANT REGISTRARS

Senior Assistant Registrar	Appointment date
Ms Cornie Ng	15 April 2011
Ms Chong Chin Chin	1 October 2018
Ms Cheng Pei Feng	1 October 2018
Mr David Lee	6 January 2020

DIVISIONAL REGISTRARS

Divisional Registrar	Name	Appointment date
Court of Appeal and Appellate Division of the High Court	Ms Chong Chin Chin	9 April 2019 (re-designated on 2 January 2021)
General Division of the High Court (Civil)	Ms Cornie Ng	1 January 2015 (re-designated on 2 January 2021)
	Mr David Lee	1 July 2023
General Division of the High Court (Crime)	Ms Cheng Pei Feng	1 July 2023

	Ms Janice Wong	1 July 2023
Singapore International Commercial Court	Mr Phang Hsiao Chung	9 April 2019

DEPUTY DIVISIONAL REGISTRARS

Deputy Divisional Registrar	Name	Appointment date
Court of Appeal and Appellate Division of the High Court	Mr Justin Yeo	9 April 2019 (re-designated on 2 January 2021)
	Mr Rajaram Vikram Raja	2 January 2021
	Ms Elaine Liew	15 July 2022
	Mr Randeep Singh	1 July 2023
	Ms Lim Sai Nei	2 May 2024
General Division of the High Court (Civil)	Ms Constance Tay	1 July 2023
	Ms Gan Kam Yuin	1 July 2023
	Ms Karen Tan	1 July 2023
General Division of the High Court (Crime)	Mr Kenneth Choo	1 July 2023
	Mr Randeep Singh	1 July 2023
Singapore International Commercial Court	Ms Carol Liew	6 January 2020
	Ms Crystal Tan	6 January 2020
	Mr James Low	1 July 2023
	Ms Li Yuen Ting	2 May 2024
	Mr Paul Tan Wei Chean	2 May 2024